

1 2 3 4	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
56	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7	United States of America			
8				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00021-JAM		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER		
14	KEVIN STERN, DATE: June 13, 2023			
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez		
16				
17	STIPULATION			
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and			
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:			
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 13, 2023. ECF No. 57.			
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until June 27			
22	2023, at 09:00 a.m. , and to exclude time between June 13, 2023, and June 27, 2023, under Local Code			
23	T4.			
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:		
25	a) The government has repre-	sented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes investigative reports, photographs, affidavits, other documents, over 3,500 recorded			
27	phone calls intercepted pursuant to the Title III wiretap orders, and other recordings. This entire			
28	discovery is in the process of being produced directly to counsel and/or made available for			

inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant needs additional time to review the discovery in this case, to conduct independent factual investigation, to research trial and sentencing issues, to consult with the client, and to otherwise prepare.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 13, 2023 to June 27, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- g) The parties also agree that, because the discovery in this case is voluminous and involves multiple wiretaps, an exclusion of time is also appropriate pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2].

Case 2:21-cr-00021-JAM Document 59 Filed 06/08/23 Page 3 of 3

1	//		
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
4	must commence.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6	D . 1 1 7 2022		
7	Dated: June 7, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8		/~/ ADDIANT VINCELLA	
9		/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11	Dated: June 7, 2023	/s/ OLAF W. HEDBERG	
12	Dated. June 7, 2023	OLAF W. HEDBERG	
13		Counsel for Defendant KEVIN STERN	
14			
15			
16		ORDER	
17	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.		
18	5 4 4 00 000		
19	Dated: June 08, 2023	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
20		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			